

### Remarks

Applicants are unclear as to whether this action is Final or Non Final. The PTOL 326 Summary states that the action is non-final. The text of the action states  
5 initially that the action is final, but the text that usually accompanies a final office action at the end of the action is not there. PAIR also lists the action as a non-final rejection. Applicants are thus treating the action as a non-final action, and assume that the statement that  
10 the action is "Final" in paragraph 2 omitted the word "non".


The features of claims 14, 36 and 58 are being incorporated into their respective independent claims 1, 23 and 45 to define the invention more specifically, and thus,  
15 no new search should be required.

In the Official Action, Examiner noted that claim 14 was similar to claim 5, but claim 5 is silent as to the identification and display of initial text. Applicants have not located any teaching of the identification and  
20 display of initial text, recited in claims 1, 23 and 45, in any of the cited references. Thus, claims 1, 23 and 45 are patentably distinguishable over the cited references. The

remaining claims being dependent on claims 1, 23 or 45 are thus patentably distinguishable over the cited references.

Claims 1-13, 15-35 and 37-66 are patentably  
distinguishable over the cited references. Favorable  
action is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,  
March 20, 2006

By:   
Charles E. Gotlieb  
Registration No. 38,164  
Innovation Partners  
540 University Ave., Suite 300  
Palo Alto, CA 94301  
(650) 328-0100